# PAGE 1

Is executive pay an issue in your country? If so, what is being done to control it? Discuss the meanings of these words with your teacher.

1 pay	2 profit	3 raise	4 shareholder	5 income
6 investor	7 golden parachute	8 store	9 stock	10 resign
Now match them to these definitions.				
shop				
a financial gain, especially the difference between an initial outlay and the subsequent amount				
earned				
the capital raised by a company through the issue and subscription of shares				
an owner of shares in a company				
a person who puts money into financial schemes with the expectation of making money				
a payment guaranteed to a company executive should they be dismissed				
money given for work				
an increase in salary				
to leave one's job voluntarily				
<del></del>				
money received, especially on a regular basis, for work				
Before listening to the report, try to complete the gaps in this written version with the appropriate form of the words above. You need to use some words more than once. Then listen and check your ideas.				
Annual An	of home-in his six year based in A Nardelli wa	in January as approvement in January as approvement in at Home Depot. But Atlanta, fell 8 percent as paid too much and		gest operator _ grew during the company, thought Bob
	to that wo \$210 millio known as a	uld ease his fall. Com n worth of payments ar a	ut he had something to ipany directors agreed nd benefits. An agreemone These are traceause of a change of a	to give him ent like this is ditional when
	control of a	a company. This was n	ot the case at Home Do	epot, and the
are just one jesus je			anger. Golde	
are just one issue in a larger debate in America. Executive pay is growing out of control, critics say, at a time when many Americans are feeling greater economic pressures. Last year, the average for a chief				
executive officer on the Standard & Poor's 500 list of companies increased by over 9 percent. Critics say there				
is no relationship between pay and performance. They say company leaders get even if they				
fail to create value for shareholders. Lawmakers are taking note. On April 20th, the House of Representatives				
passed a bill to give in publicly traded companies the right to vote on executive pay. The proposal by majority Democrats now goes to the Senate. But its future is unclear. The Bush administration				
opposes the bill. It says Congress should not set the approval process for executive pay. The bill would				
require yearly votes but these would be non-binding. In other words, companies would not have to follow				

shareholder wishes. Still, supporters argue that a "say on pay" vote would send a clear signal about what the owners of the company, the \_\_\_\_\_\_, think. The Securities and Exchange Commission requires public companies to include executive \_\_\_\_\_ information in a document called a proxy statement. A proxy statement is supposed to help shareholders make informed votes on company proposals. But critics note that

the way executive \_\_\_\_\_ is reported is often too difficult to understand. I'm Steve Ember.

## EXTECUTIVE PAY PAGE 2

#### Teacher's notes

## Total pages 2 / student pages 1 / week of 21.05.07 / CD track 1 / low-intermediate+

- 8 shop
- 2 a financial gain, especially the difference between an initial outlay and the subsequent amount earned
- 9 the capital raised by a company through the issue and subscription of shares
- 4 an owner of shares in a company
- 6 a person who puts money into financial schemes with the expectation of making money
- 7 a payment guaranteed to a company executive should they be dismissed
- 1 money given for work
- 3 an increase in salary
- 10 to leave one's job voluntarily
- 5 money received, especially on a regular basis, for work

### Post-listening discussion Ask the students these questions:

What do you think is a fair salary for a CEO of a company such as Home Depot? Who is the highest paid CEO in your country?

#### FYI Top salaries for 2006

Apple's CEO Steve Jobs was the top paid CEO last year with nearly \$647 million in total. Ray R Irani of Occidental Petroleum was No. 2 with \$322 million in compensation, while Barry Diller (IAC/InterActiveCorp, \$295m), William P Foley II (Fidelity, \$180m), Terry S Semel (Yahoo, \$174m), Michael S Dell (Dell, \$153m), Angelo R Mozilo (Countrywide Financial, \$142m), Michael S Jeffries (Abercrombie & Fitch, \$115m), Kenneth D Lewis (Bank of America, \$100m), and Henry C Duques (First Data, \$98m) were others among the top 10.

### **Transcript**

For Robert Nardelli, 2007 might seem like a bad year. After all, he resigned in January as chief of the world's largest operator of home-improvement stores. Sales and profits grew during his six years at Home Depot. But the stock price of the company, based in Atlanta, fell 8 percent. Many shareholders thought Bob Nardelli was paid too much and did not respect his investors enough. So he was forced out. But he had something to look forward to that would ease his fall. Company directors agreed to give him \$210 million worth of payments and benefits. An agreement like this is known as a golden parachute. These are traditional when top executives lose their jobs because of a change of ownership or control of a company. This was not the case at Home Depot, and the money only added to shareholder anger. Golden parachutes are just one issue in a larger debate in America. Executive pay is growing out of control, critics say, at a time when many Americans are feeling greater economic pressures. Last year, the average pay for a chief executive officer on the Standard & Poor's 500 list of companies increased by over 9 percent. Critics say there is no relationship between pay and performance. They say company leaders get raises even if they fail to create value for shareholders. Lawmakers are taking note. On April 20th, the House of Representatives passed a bill to give shareholders in publicly traded companies the right to vote on executive pay. The proposal by majority Democrats now goes to the Senate. But its future is unclear. The Bush administration opposes the bill. It says Congress should not set the approval process for executive pay. The bill would require yearly votes but these would be nonbinding. In other words, companies would not have to follow shareholder wishes. Still, supporters argue that a "say on pay" vote would send a clear signal about what the owners of the company, the investors, think. The Securities and Exchange Commission requires public companies to include executive pay information in a document called a proxy statement. A proxy statement is supposed to help shareholders make informed votes on company proposals. But critics note that the way executive income is reported is often too difficult to understand. I'm Steve Ember.